

RI CCBHC Cost Reporting – FAQs

Last Updated: 01/17/2023

	Question	Answer
1	PPS-1 vs. PPS-2: Why PPS-2?	<p>The State selected the PPS-2 rate-setting methodology because this structure will allow us to best meet the needs of both Medicaid members and providers. Specifically, PPS-2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allows for varying rates for special populations with specific needs;• Is similar in structure to Rhode Island’s Health Home Program bundles, IHH and ACT, and was initially proposed in RI’s original CCBHC demonstration application, so there is some familiarity among both providers and the State; and• Requires a quality component to incentivize value and pay providers beyond the PPS rate for high quality, aligning the model with value-based care initiatives occurring within RI Medicaid.
2	What if all DCOs haven’t been identified by the time of cost report submission? Is there an opportunity for more to be added later?	<p>New DCOs can be added during each annual cost reporting cycle. If a required CCBHC service is provided by a DCO, the cost of that DCO arrangement must be included in the cost report. This means the CCBHC includes the payment rate it has established with the DCO for the agreed upon services (not the underlying costs of the DCO), or the anticipated cost of the service.</p> <p>See CMS Cost Report Instructions and Supplemental Technical Guidance for additional clarification re: how to project and incorporate DCO costs in the cost report.</p>
3	EBP Training/ Coaching/ Fidelity	<p>See Technical Guidance for additional clarification re: allowable EBP training costs.</p> <p>“CCBHCs may adopt additional EBPs beyond the minimum standard. Training and licensing costs for EBPs permitted by BHDDH according to established standards will qualify as an allowable CCBHC cost.”</p>
4	MCO Funds Flow/Integration	<p>The PPS-2 rate will be fully incorporated in the Managed Care capitation rates; each MCO will be responsible for paying the provider specific PPS-2 rate to each certified CCBHC according to program specifications.</p>
5	What is the role of the MCO in authorizing services, utilization review and management, outcome measurement, and compliance and quality improvement?	<p>This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.</p>
6	Attribution: What is the process of designating and changing attribution, and/or appealing the decision?	<p>There are two processes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Initial attribution will be based on a combination of BHOLD and claims. BHDDH will send each provider a list of attributed members. Providers will add/update the files and submit back to BHDDH.

		2. Ongoing attribution will be the provider's responsibility in most cases. We will utilize the Gainwell Eligibility Portal (same one used for IHH/ACT today).
7	Allowable Services: Can CCBHCs include the cost of services that are not required but allowed in the cost report, or would there need to be a discussion with BHDDH or DCYF ahead of time? If so, what would be the process?	Yes, however the State will make a determination as to which new costs will be approved in the final cost report.
8	Payment: What constitutes a monthly billable visit?	Page 4, Appendix B of the Technical Guidance discusses billable visits. The State is also releasing a more detailed visit definition document.
9	General Population Services: What services would be provided to adults with severe mental illness but who do not meet the high acuity category, as they can generally self-manage their symptoms more adequately than most? Can they be provided case management and care coordination services as part of the general population category if the CCBHC builds that into the staffing level in addition to ACT and ICCT team staffing?	CCBHCs need to provide the required services to all individuals with BH conditions; this includes individuals who do not meet the high-acuity category needing case management and care coordination. The cost of those services need to be accounted for in the General Population rate. Team structure or specific services are not prescribed for the General Population.
10	Should Healthy Transitions (HT) costs be included with High Acuity Adults or High Acuity Children population? Or split across the groups given HT serves individuals 16 to 25 years old?	Individuals receiving Healthy Transition services (and their associated costs) should be included in the high acuity adult group, regardless of age. This exception was added to V2 of the Technical Guidance (dated 1/13).
11	Are there directions for providers completing the cost report who now operate one of the required CCBHC programs that is now partially or fully grant funded, with that grant ending sometime in the near future?	<p>Page 18 of the CMS Cost Report Instructions, Allocation Descriptions Tab, notes that the CCBHC should offset salary costs by applicable revenues, such as grants received.</p> <p>As such, if a grant is expiring, and those revenues are not anticipated to be available in SFY 2024, the associated costs are allowable. If the grant revenues are expected for part of a year, the associated costs would also be allowable for the portion of the year when the grant funding is expired. Note, if applicable, these details should be included in the cost report comments tab, detailing the worksheets and lines in which the costs are included.</p>
12	Can treatment teams blend IHH and ACT services or must teams be delineated based on level of care?	No, teams cannot be blended. ACT is an evidence-based model.
13	What are the fidelity tools for the required evidence-based practices?	The fidelity tools are not yet finalized. The State will work closely with providers on plans to roll-out tools and trainings. Cost report submissions must include anticipated training costs as applicable.

14	Do staff need to be fully certified in best practice areas or will internal training and demonstration of fidelity suffice?	Staff should be sufficiently trained in the evidence-based practices (EBPs) to competently perform that specific clinical practice, initially with coaching and supervision. There is no requirement at present that would require each practitioner be fully certified. The CCBHC's implementation along with practice requirements and standards should be reflected in their plan which will be required as part of the application.
15	What costs are considered "above State-defined threshold" falling into the "outlier" category?	This question is answered in Appendix E "Outlier Thresholds and Allocation Guidance".
16	For group home clients, will the existing daily MHPRR rate be billable in addition to the high acuity PPS-2 rate?	Yes. MHPRR services are not included in the PPS-2 rate.
17	It seems that the state requirements differ from the federal CCBHC model. Can you help clarify the rationale for that difference?	The RI CCBHC Standards comply with all aspects of the current federal model. SAMHSA has proposed changes to the federal certification standards; these are currently out for public comment and will be issued as final in Spring 2023. RI intends to revise its CCBHC Standards to comply with the final Federal Standards.
18	What constitutes the Utilization of a Mobile Crisis Team where in that an unattributed member can get assigned by BHDDH to a CCBHC according to their geographic proximity?	The State is currently developing these guidelines; they will be publicly released on a later date.
19	Please define Clubhouse services - will day program models other than true Clubhouse services be acceptable?	RI Clubhouse services need to comply with the nationally recognized Clubhouse standards. At present, they do not need to be certified. Day program is not an allowable service under the Clubhouse model.
20	In regard to patient Visit Detail request from Appendix F – TPC received extra payments through patient claims for the Workforce Development Grant. Do we include those payments in the "paid amount" column of the cost report, or should we back out those payments from the claims detail?	EOHHS assumes this is in reference to enhanced fee-for-service rates funded by the Workforce Development program. The full enhanced rate received via claims payment should be included in the "Paid Amount" column of the [Detailed Visit Reporting]. Any Workforce Development program funding received outside claims payment (grant, etc.) should be excluded.
21	High Acuity Adults: Need further clarification for eligibility of RI I/DD waiver. What specific Intellectual diagnoses does this include?	CCBHCs must serve all individuals. If providers are uncertain if an individual meets the RI I/DD waiver criteria, they should submit the request for the high-acuity adult population via the Gainwell Eligibility Portal. Additional guidance will be issued by the State on a later date.
22	Concern that this broad eligibility criteria may be inclusive of more severe intellectual developmental diagnosis and ability to participate in CCBHC services.	The Fidelity model to be used is under further discussion by the State addition details will be released ASAP. CCBHC Standards address the staffing patterns and census.
23	Can you confirm the ACT fidelity model-TMACT-staffing pattern, and census numbers? TMACT fidelity refers to 3 hr per month while technical	These details can be found in the <u>draft</u> CCBHC Certification Standards posted 1/11. See Addendum 7. Scope of Services. The ACT service requirements will be added to the <u>final</u> Certification Standards to be released 2/1.

	guidance also refers to monthly requirements defined by BHDDH. Please clarify.	
24	Will the State consider allowing IHH and ACT enrollments currently in the State portal system to qualify in year 1 to include individuals with the listed diagnosis and DLA scores between 4 and 5, and all individuals with current exceptions already approved? Transition to change in DLA of score of less than 4 in year 2?	<p>Based on the BHOLD reporting, CSP and ACT are automatically in the high acuity population for the initial assignment.</p> <p>No, if they have a DLA score between 4 and 5, they will be assigned to the standard population (general outpatient).</p>
25	Recommend the use of the Modified CGAS assessment over the CANs assessment as a level of care assessment too. There is experience using the tool when the state used it when transitioning to Childrens Intensive Services (CIS) model, which some believe to be the best service delivery system we have had in behavioral health in the last 30+ years. CGAS assessment is nationally recognized, and the RI modified CGAS was developed by colleagues at Yale in conjunction with providers and DCYF, and used exclusively by RI.	The State will take this under advisement.
26	There are 21 EBP's listed as required in the Technical Guidance. Having had experience with SAMHSA grants EBP implementation, fidelity tool development, data collection and tracking, analysis and report writing, and the associated staff training time (pulling them away from direct services and other required training), we know this will require a significant expense to implement this many EBP's and fidelities at one time. Managing just 5 or 6 at one time is costly. Can we assume the 21 EBP's noted on the list are EBP's we can pick from to implement? Will there be guidance on fidelity tools and scoring protocols?	All 21 EBP's are required. Please refer to schedule in draft Certification Standards (released 1/11) for implementation deadlines. Many of these EBPs are already being utilized by BHDDH licensed organizations. Yes, there will be guidance on Fidelity tools.
27	Wondering if there is a list out yet of the DCOs that have been awarded? We have several we are partnering with but were hoping to see the complete list.	Yes, the State is working to put together a list of DCOs that we can share. You do not have to be awarded the grant to be a DCO.

28	How do we treat costs currently underwritten by state or federal grants? Are they included or excluded from the expense base?	<p>Page 18 of the CMS Cost Report Instructions, Allocation Descriptions Tab, notes that the CCBHC should offset salary costs by applicable revenues, such as grants received.</p> <p>As such, if a grant is expiring, and those revenues are not anticipated to be available in SFY 2024, the associated costs are allowable. If the grant revenues are expected for part of a year, the associated costs would also be allowable for the portion of the year when the grant funding is expired.</p> <p>The State requests the costs currently underwritten by state or federal grants to be reported in the following manner, consistent with the principles above:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actual costs incurred in the base year (SFY 2022) are fully included in Compensation and Other (column 1 and column 2 of the [Trial Balance]). • State and federal grants applicable to those costs in the base year are included as an offsetting (negative) value in the Adjustments (column 6 of the [Trial Balance]). Itemize the offsetting grants in Part 2 of [Trial Balance Adjustments], Other Costs Not Allowed (row 22a). • Grants not available in the rate year (SFY 2024) are included as a positive value in Anticipated Costs (column 8 of the [Trial Balance]). <p>Note, if applicable, these details should be included in the cost report comments tab, detailing the worksheets and lines in which the costs are included.</p>
29	My assumption is that such costs can be included in the base. As grant withdrawals may only be done once Medicaid revenue is netted against a cost.	See response to question 28 above.
30	Can we DCO with an agency that is a CCBHC in our same catchment area for services?	CCBHCs have the ability to DCO with any organization meeting the standards providing services in their area. An organization can be a CCBHC and a DCO.
31	What EBPS are you requiring for younger children? There does not seem to be one specified for young children on your list.	None are required at this time. We are leaving it to the discretion of the CCBHC.
32	Can you clarify which services can be DCO'd?	This is addressed in the draft Certification Standards released 1/11.
33	How will you identify/account for the children receiving community-based BH services that are not provided by CMHCs since they are not reported to BHDDH - it seems like you will be counting on the attribution lists from just the CMHCs and there are many more children and adolescents receiving BH services not under the BHDDH umbrella.	If the CMHC becomes a CCBHC/DCO, they will be required to report all of the children receiving services in BHOLD.

34	Need protocol for warm handoff as clients move from one program to another (i.e., related to changes in attribution)	Thank you for your feedback. The State is taking this under advisement.
35	Will children also be attributed/registered?	Yes.
36	Will all populations be managed through the Gainwell Eligibility Portal?	Yes.
37	Which Cost Report Category should Psych Nurse Practitioners be categorized under?	Please reference the attached crosswalk of definitions for the CCBHC cost report line item categories on the [Trial Balance] worksheet based upon provider certification standards when completing the CCBHC cost report. The profession in question should correspond with line 17d in this crosswalk.
38	Can we use a Federally Approved Indirect Cost rate in the indirect cost section instead of listing all costs?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
39	Are the Part I compensation amounts for employees used such as a psychiatrist the current salary or annualized compensation for FY 7/1/21-6/30/22?	The Part I compensation values should reflect compensation in the base year, 7/1/21 through 6/30/22. Any adjustments to costs (including compensation) to reflect costs that are expected to increase as a result of offering CCBHC services during the rate period that were not captured in the base year may be included in the “Adjustments for Anticipated Cost Changes” column. This may include annualizing the compensation for employees not employed for the full base year. Please note, the PPS-2 rate will include an adjustment for inflationary trend between the base year and rate period based on the Medicare Economic Index (see [CC PPS-2 Rate] worksheet).
40	Want to confirm that Anticipated Costs include the cost of bringing salary levels and benefit packages to market.	Yes, this is correct. This will be reviewed for reasonableness and further guidance may be issued.
41	NMH have completed a federal indirect cost rate submission. We are in the process of being negotiated. Is it allowable to use this rate while we are still in process?	Same question as #38. This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
42	I saw telephones under Indirect costs. What about cell phones that are used by direct CCBHC staff?	After further discussion, we anticipate cell phones would be included in an indirect cost category, such as “Telephone”, unless the CCBHC can demonstrate the cell phones meet the direct cost principles outlined in 45 CFR §75.413.
43	Is a de minimus indirect rate (i.e., 10%) allowed rather than detailing out indirect costs?	Related to questions 38 & 41 above. This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
44	What is the reporting period should be used for the base year financial statements?	As noted on page 2 of the Supplemental Technical Guidance, SFY 2022 (July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022) is the base year for cost report data.
45	Do you have all of these schedules completed with sample data so we can understand how it all flows?	The State does not have these schedules completed with sample data. The supplemental reports are generally independent. The CCBHC cost report does “flow”, in that the costs input are used

		to output a PPS-2 rate. Please reach out if there is a specific question on that we can respond to about the flow of the workbook.
46	If a consumer is in a MHPRR, is the MHPRR rate to be included or just the cost of IHH/ACT Health Home? Or is it both the IHH and MHPRR cost as covered and non-covered costs?	Just the cost of IHH. MHPRR services are not included in the PPS-2 rate.
47	How can the CCBHCs identify the outlier clients?	<p>Outlier visits and charges are reported in the [Monthly Visits] and [CC PPS-2 Rate] worksheets. Outlier clients are those with total billed charges in the base year exceeding the annual outlier threshold for the client's population/condition group illustrated in Appendix E of the Cost Report Technical Guidance.</p> <p>If the annual outlier threshold is exceeded for a given client, all charges and patient visit months starting with the month the threshold is crossed should be allocated to the "Visit Months Above the Outlier Threshold" and "Charges or Costs for CCBHC Services Above the Outlier Threshold" columns in the [Monthly Visits] and [CC PPS-2 Rate] worksheets, respectively. Patient visit months and charges incurred in the months prior to the month the outlier threshold was crossed should not be included in these columns.</p> <p>The outlier threshold should not be prorated for clients with less than 12 months of eligibility in the base year.</p>
48	Do you plan to have clinic specific rates as has been done in the CCBHC demo or will there be one rate for all clinics?	Each CCBHC will have its own PPS-2 rates across the 4 population rate categories.
49	When will these standards be distributed?	Draft Certification Standards were posted to the EOHHS CCBHC webpage for public access on 1/11. Final Certification Standards will be released 2/1.
50	Could you explain if the criteria on the Readiness Assessment coordinate with a set of published standards?	The criteria in the Readiness Assessment mirrors the current federal CCBHC standards released by SAMHSA.
51	Does the budget that is due with the Continuation Application reflect our \$60,000 award (2 DCO sites) or the possible \$740,000?	We will be requesting an accounting of the dollars spent in the initial Phase 1 Grant Allocation. Then, the budget that will be required with the Continuation Application will be for the Phase 2 funding (\$760,000 per CCBHC clinic site and \$370,000 per DCO site).
52	As an approved but non-funded DCO are we still required to do the DCO - Equity Assessment?	No. This is only a requirement for grantees.
53	How are the requirements of being a DCO being communicated to DCOs?	All potential DCOs are welcome to our Learning Collaborative meetings. DCO requirements are also listed in the draft RI CCBHC Standards released 1/11.
54	You mentioned when we 1st discussed the error we made in our application (failing to request the DCO	No, we are sorry, but we will not be able to put forth another DCO RFP application.

	funding) that another RFP or application may become available is there any update on this?	
55	I am wondering if I can connect with someone at OHHS to discuss our role as a DCO? This is a new process for us. I'd like to be sure that we are able to assist as much as possible to deliver a high quality of care to our patients.	We will be exploring the DCO roles within the Learning Collaborative meetings that will be carried out monthly and we will be able to have additional conversations with potential DCOs upon request.
56	It was mentioned that there should be one cost report per organization. Would this apply to an organization such as Gateway if the intent is to apply as a CCBHC for multiple catchment areas. If there are different DCOs relationships across different catchment area, how do we layer this consideration into the Cost Report?	Yes, there should be one Cost Report per CCBHC. Part 2 of this question is under further discussion. Note, DCO relationships are not specific to a catchment area. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
57	There is a discrepancy in the RI technical guidance and the CMS Cost Report guidance in regards to Qualifying staff person: a. Is the intent that qualifying services are those staff type listed in the Cost Report? b. We would need a detailed description of each staff type. This is imperative to categorizing qualifying encounter appropriately for both the PPS-2 billing component and the cost reporting data. Example: Nurse Practitioner Staff type?	a. Yes. The State will be sure to align the Staff Type list in the Technical Guidance and Cost Report Guidance. b. The State has developed these details and is working to release them ASAP.
58	In regards to RI technical guidance and qualifying locations: Line 3. "x. Another Community-based site that has been approved by the Department": <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What other locations would apply? For example, maybe recovery specialist will meet clients at a Starbucks, or a park. Would those qualify? 	We have provided an exclusion list in V2 of the Cost Reporting Technical Guidance (dated 1/13).
59	Could you provide or direct us to a specific definition of CCBHC/ non-CCBHC services that are included in/ excluded from the "in-scope" portion of the cost report?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.

60	Could you provide or direct us to examples of “Telehealth” costs that need to be carved out? These may be unspecified costs in our internal records and likely scattered among several expense accounts.	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
61	The non-CCBHC portion of the “Trial Balance” tab indicates the need to carve-out Medicaid from non-Medicaid costs. What is an acceptable allocation method for determining the separation given our internal costs aren’t necessarily separated in that manner?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
62	Similar to the question above, if staff have more than one licensure (LICSW, LCDP, LMHC, etc.) needing to be separated between more than one personnel category on the “Trial Balance” tab and encounter data cannot distinguish between the services, what is an acceptable alternative allocation methodology? How much leeway is there to using different allocation methods?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
63	What constitutes the utilization of a Mobile Crisis Team where in that an unattributed member can get assigned by BHDDH to a CCBHC according to their geographic proximity?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
64	If there’s a significant clinical reason why a member should not be attributed to a specific CCBHC due to geographic proximity alone, what attribution adjustments/considerations will be taken?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
65	The cost report requires a fiscal year of 7/1-6/30 and needs to be able to tie back to our audited financial statements. The problem is our fiscal year is 10/1-9/30. How should we proceed? What time period should we report?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
66	We are in the middle of our cost report and have an important question that we need answered before we can move on. Should we be basing our cost	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.

	report on costs needed to accomplish everything that is required in the certification standards or just what is realistically feasible for year 1? For example, we might need 100 new staff to accomplish all requirements but for year one it is more realistic that we will be able to hire 30 staff. Should we do our cost report based on all 100 staff or the 30 staff for year 1? Will we be able to adjust our rate each year to account for the additional staff that will be needed to meet all of the requirements?	
67	We are having discussions with youth providers now about being DCOs for Thrive but it is unclear which services for youth we should be putting in the CCBHC. Also, stated is that there will be guidance and a methodology provided on youth mobile crisis. Could you please provide more direction on youth services so we can better prepare our cost report?	CCBHC's are required to meet directly (or through a DCO) all the behavioral health needs for all ages and address many of the factors pertaining to social determinants of health. DCO's are seen providing any of those services under a specific DCO agreement with the CCBHC. Services could also include outreach and engagement activities. Specific services for youth in the high acuity category are listed in the RI CCBHC draft Certification Standards released 1/11 for comment. Services not listed can also be proposed in the cost report if seen as being necessary to comply with service needs of youth.
68	Could you please clarify what "ACT" for Teen ACT stands for? It is unclear if the acronym is Assertive Community Treatment or Acceptance and Commitment Therapy.	Assertive Community Treatment
69	The cost report does not list Master's Level Clinicians as a specified position- should we put that under "other"?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
70	We operate an IHH site outside of our catchment area. If a client chooses to remain at our site, will they be attributed to the CCBHC associated with the site or the CCBHC of geographic proximity?	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
71	Is true that a specialized ACT team may operate outside of the CCBHC catchment area as long as it is a specialized ACT team? If so, what are the standards that define a team as specialized?	Services provided by a CCBHC outside their area must enter into a DCO arrangement with the prospective CCBHC serving that outside area. This would include ACT teams. Part of this question is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.

72	<p>Would you be able to provide a little more information about Teen ACT?</p> <p>Composition/qualifications of the team, staffing, etc. What would the age group be, 16-18? I understand SAMHSA has something using the abbreviation ACT - Acceptance and Commitment Therapy. Is there any relation to this?</p>	<p>This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.</p>
73	<p>My staff may have already reached out to you with this question. Do you have any program material on the model of Teen Act that you are recommending? We are having a hard time finding a fidelity scale and good program description. We are also needing to choose an evidence-based practice(s) to address the needs adolescents and younger children with serious emotional disorders such as MST, FFT, MDFT etc. Is there any preference from DCYF for additional enhanced outpatient level of care evidenced based practices in addition to Teen Act? Intensive in-home Children's services are one of biggest service gaps. Teen Act only addresses part of the Enhance Outpatient Service needs of the children in our area and we prefer to use a more robust EBP rather than a generic EOS model in addition to Teen ACT. Any thoughts would be appreciated.</p>	<p>This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.</p>
74	<p>Depending on the ACT fidelity tool chosen by the state, could TPC blend treatment teams if fidelity to the ACT model were able to be demonstrated for the clients receiving ACT care?</p>	<p>ACT teams and services will need to comply with the fidelity to the model described in the RI CCBHC guidance. The fidelity measure will need to be completed at least every 6 months. We don't how you define blended treatment teams. Blending ACT teams staffing with other non ACT teams would not comply with the requirement.</p>
75	<p>Does the CCBHC certification application process include an application process for DCO's?</p>	<p>We ask you to identify the DCOs, but there's not a separate application for them. Certification is governed by the CCBHC. CCBHCs are responsible for the DCOs. The relationship is between the CCBHC and DCO. Application is from the CCBHC. Certification Standards includes an Appendix that sets forward guidelines for CCBHC and DCO relationships.</p>
76	<p>Do you have technical assistance resources to help prospective CCBHC's develop DCO's?</p>	<p>Learning Collaborative resources will be provided to help CCBHCs identify and create potential partnerships with DCOs.</p>
77	<p>Please explain the needs assessment process. Will the state provide the initial needs assessment?</p>	<p>This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.</p>

78	Will there be any more technical assistance on suggested evidenced based practices and information on the fidelity standards? Particularly seeking guidance on children's evidence-based practices as Teen Act only covers apportion of developmental stages and service needs.	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
79	One of the great things about CCBHC is they allow for the clinics to determine which programs are needed in the areas they serve and the staff needed to deliver those programs. The Certification Standards state that the State will determine staffing needed according to a needs assessment that the state will be conducting. Also, the Certification Standards require an additional 18 evidence based programs to be implemented in years 1 & 2. This is a lot for clinics to be doing in addition to implementing the 11 core services. In my opinion, the CCBHC should focus on getting the CCBHC fully operational with the staff needed to meet the needs of the community and the growing capacity that has been seen with the Demonstration clinics. Think we should focus on 11 core services, then thinking about expansion of EBPs after in a phased approach. All this in year 1-2 is a lot.	The State is taking this feedback into consideration.
80	Is staffing pattern something we can do in partnership with the State? E.g. for non ACT programs.	Discussion of staffing patterns in the CCBHC Certification Standards are specific to the IHH/ACT program – State will clarify language in the final draft of the Standards (to be released 2/1).
81	Will additional quality measures be posted?	What's listed in the draft Certification Standards is complete.
82	For DCOs, are they required to provide all core services? Or can some of these be done by the DCOs?	Parts or all of Standards 5-9 can be DCO-ed out (see 1/7 Public Meeting PPT deck, slide 9). The CCBHC is the central point of contact and responsible party; should provide bulk of services but can partner with DCOs to supplement.
83	Will the CCBHC Certification Application be submitted through the new RI State Grants Management System?	No, it will be submitted to BHDDH electronically. A submission protocol will be shared out by the State ASAP.

84	Is what is required for cyber security insurance included in the draft Certification Standards? Just need to go out to brokers if higher then what is already required.	This is under discussion. The CCBHC Interagency Team will release additional guidance/details ASAP.
----	---	---